



ADDENDUM #1
SYNTHETIC TURF REPLACEMENT-GERP FIELDS 10-14 INFIELDS
BID #18/19-014 / PWP #WA-2019-071
BIDS DUE NO LATER THAN: 1:45 PM ON JANUARY 3, 2019
PUBLIC BID OPENING: 2:00 PM ON JANUARY 3, 2019

This addendum is to notify all potential proposers of clarifications made to the Bid documents as stated below.

REMINDER TO BIDDERS:

Due to issues discovered in previous bids for this and similar construction projects, the City wishes to direct bidders to re-read and observe the following items:

- 1) **Bid Document Page 8 (Subcontractor Detail)** – Potential bidders are reminded that Nevada State Law REQUIRES bidders to list all subcontractors that will be paid an amount exceeding 5% of their bid price. This requirement extends to the bidder as well. Bidders MUST list themselves on this form with the description of work they will self-perform under any contract resulting from this bid. Bidders failing to list themselves on this form will be found “non-responsive” and cannot be considered in the evaluation of bids.
- 2) **Turf System Warranty Requirements** – Potential bidders are recommended to thoroughly review the City’s specifications concerning the warranty requirements for the installed turf system, found on Pages 56-57 of the bid document. The warranty requirements defined by the City vary from most “industry standard” warranty forms provided by turf manufacturers in their typical course of business. Bidders will find specific requirements concerning any potential exclusions as well as warranty requirements concerning continuing performance of the turf systems.

SUBMITTED REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION:

Questions provided by potential bidders as of 2/12/18, and answers provided by the City of Sparks:

Bidder Question #1

Can we provide a monofilament fiber as the full length grass blades and combine with a nylon thatch?

City Response #1

Insufficient information was submitted to consider and evaluate the question presented. The requirements to submit ALL relevant information and “*submit side by side evidence supported matrix*”, as required by SECTION 32 18 13 – SYNTHETIC TURF Part 2, 2.1, A through D of the project manual was not received.

SECTION 32 18 13 - SYNTHETIC TURF Part 2, 2.1

D. In order for each equivalent product submission to receive an appropriate and expeditious review, all relevant data for the proposed equivalent product shall be submitted for City review. This must include all detailed product data, performance testing, and warranty. The contractor must generate and submit a side by side evidence supported matrix comparing all items/categories detailed in this specification Part 1- General; 1.5 Submittals: Item D – No. 1, 2 &4 and Part 2- Materials; 2.2 Synthetic Turf Minimum Product Requirements. Failure to provide a clear, comprehensive, and summarized matrix, supported by testing and or performance data will result in a rejection of the submission.

No technical information was received, therefore the request is rejected.

Bidder Question #2

Please review our request for a substitute for the nylon thatch fiber specified. It's finished length will be 0.85 inches for the 1.7" height system.

City Response #2

Insufficient information was submitted to consider and evaluate the nylon thatch fiber presented and would need to be considered as a part of a comprehensive submission for the synthetic turf carpet. The requirements to submit ALL relevant information and “*submit side by side evidence supported matrix*”, as required by SECTION 32 18 13 – SYNTHETIC TURF Part 2, 2.1, A through D was not received.

Insufficient and incomplete information was received, therefore the request is rejected.

Bidder Question #3

Please review MonoSport MT60 1.5 FL457 as an approved equal or alternate substitution.

City Response #3

Insufficient information was submitted to consider and evaluate the synthetic turf carpet presented. The requirements to submit ALL relevant information and “*submit side by side evidence supported matrix*”, as required by SECTION 32 18 13 – SYNTHETIC TURF Part 2, 2.1, A through D was not received.

Insufficient and incomplete information was received, therefore the request is rejected.

Bidder Question #4

Please consider Envirofill, an acrylic coated sand that is coated with Microban and can be used for multiple turf life cycles and/or, an organic product made of Black and English walnut shells that offers evaporative cooling features as alternate in-fill materials to the crumb rubber specified.

City Response #4

Insufficient information was submitted to consider and evaluate the synthetic turf carpet presented. The requirements to submit ALL relevant information and “*submit side by side evidence supported matrix*”, as required by SECTION 32 18 13 – SYNTHETIC TURF Part 2, 2.1, A through D was not received.

No technical information was received, therefore the request is rejected.

Bidder Question #5

Please consider ThermaGreen 15 (SportsLite HD15SL-NW3-S) as an equivalent shock pad to the specified materials.

City Response #5

Insufficient information was submitted to consider and evaluate the synthetic turf carpet presented. The requirements to submit ALL relevant information and “*submit side by side evidence supported matrix*”, as required by SECTION 32 18 14 – ATHLETIC FIELD SHOCK ATTENUATION PAD Part 2, 2.1, A through D was not received.

SECTION 32 18 14 – ATHLETIC FIELD SHOCK ATTENUATION PAD, Part 2, 2.1

D. In order for each equivalent product submission to receive an appropriate and expeditious review, all relevant data for the proposed equivalent product shall be submitted for City review. This must include all detailed product data, performance testing, and warranty. The contractor must generate and submit a side by side evidence supported matrix comparing all items/categories detailed in this specification Part 1- General; 1.5 Submittals: Item D – No. 1, 2, & 4 and Part 2- Materials; 2.2 Shock Pad Product Requirements. Failure to provide a clear, comprehensive, and summarized matrix, supported by testing and or performance data may result in a rejection of the submission.

Insufficient and incomplete information was received, therefore the request is rejected.

Bidder Question #6

Please consider Vintage 40-55 (Field Turf Slit-Film w/Thatch 1.6 inches) as an equivalent to the specified synthetic turf carpet.

City Response #6

Insufficient information was submitted to consider and evaluate the synthetic turf carpet presented. The requirements to submit ALL relevant information and “*submit side by side evidence supported matrix*”, as required by SECTION 32 18 13 – SYNTHETIC TURF Part 2, 2.1, A through D was not received.

Insufficient and incomplete information was received, therefore the request is rejected.

Please note and adjust your bid according to the revisions, additions, deletions, clarifications or modifications as presented on this Addendum #1, which are made a part of this bid. NOTE: To avoid disqualification, this Addendum 1 (and any other addenda) must be signed by an authorized representative of the bidding firm in the space provided and must be submitted with your firm's sealed proposal. Failure to return this addendum, duly signed, may be cause for rejection of the bid. ALL ADDENDA SHOULD BE SIGNED AND PLACED IN SEQUENTIAL ORDER AND ATTACHED TO THE FRONT OF THE BID PACKAGE, COMPLETE WITH ALL REQUIRED DOCUMENTS.

CONTRACTOR BUSINESS NAME

Dan Marran, C.P.M., CPPO
Contracts and Risk Manager

X _____
Authorized Signature

December 27, 2018

Printed Name of Person Signing